Application: What Does Hylomorphism Apply to?

6AANB051, 7AAN2047/7AAN6019

Zita Toth, zita.v.toth@kcl.ac.uk

Reminder & background Heavenly bodies

The problem Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterless heavens view Angels and souls What are substances composed of?

- integral parts: elements.
- metaphysical parts: parts that aren't integral parts.
- substance = prime matter [is it extended? is it real?] + substantial form(s) [how many?] + accidents [are they real? what do they inhere in?]
- How can we apply this framework to a human being?
- What can we apply it to, in general?

Reminder & background

Heavenly bodies The problem Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterless heavens view Angels and souls

The Cosmos



Reminder & background

Heavenly bodies

Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterless heavens view

Angels and souls

Application: What Does Hylomorphism Apply to?

Topics in Metaphysics

The Problem of Celestial Matter

Some background: Ptolemy, Aristotle (later Averroes)

- The sublunary vs. superlunary sphere: one is corruptible, the other is not.
- The heavens are not subject to substantial or qualitative changes.
- But matter is precisely the underlying substrate for such changes!
- However, if there is no matter, then how can the heavens move?



Reminder & background

Heavenly bodies

The problem Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterless heavens view

Are celestial bodies composed of matter and form? - 3 main positions

- Uniform matter view: Yes; they have the same kind of matter as the earthly one Giles of Rome, Ockham, perhaps Scotus (undecided)
- Diverse matter view: Yes, but they have a different kind of matter from the earthly one Aquinas, the early Galileo
- Matterless heavens view: No; they have no matter at all Peter Auriol, John of Jandun, Durand of St.-Pourçain

Reminder & background

Heavenly bodies

The problem

Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterless heavens view

1. The Uniform Matter View

Arguments for the view:

- If matter is pure potentiality, there is nothing to diversify it.
- Parsimony argument; we can account for sublunar corruption this way; therefore, there is no reason to posit two kinds of matter.
- The First Act is one, therefore, so should be the First Potency.

Arguments against:

- Does this mean that the matter of the heavens could, possibly, take on a form and become corruptible?
- Celestial and terrestrial matter have different characteristic motion (circular vs. rectilinear); hence, they are different.

Reminder & background

Heavenly bodies The problem Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterless heavens view

2. The Diverse Matter View

- Seems to be the prevalent view at least in the early 14th century
- Cf. the arguments against the previous view
- Conforms to the basic Ptolemaic/Aristotelian distinction between the sublunar and superlunar spheres — one contains generable and corruptible things, the other does not.

Argument against: How can we draw a distinction between different kinds of matter, if matter is pure potency?

> ha age spant actions: A remotes - Grigan raiser proves at herates. Image 2 estimates: A down answers now prover this, Bee dow hit is a down the markin is of his internationa. A rais a largerized of dows, Sydo, and S-Q' that di priverse at sympton. Voor qui ausse as see certs: feldi homes area dimentio: 3 parti at homes it is the format. It had here how altere timpes, of 2 year on the post-aprendors, Os to have a hole currentified. Nowing the other of homes.



Reminder & background

Heavenly bodies The problem Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterless heavens view Angels and souls

Topics in Metaphysics

Some Arguments:

- Argument from incorruptibility, and from the inadequacy of alternative positions
- Argument from parsimony
- Argument from dimensions

Arguments against:

- What sense does this make?
- How can the heavenly bodies have motion, colour, etc., if they don't have matter?

Reminder & background

Heavenly bodies

The problem Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterless heavens view

Peter Auriol, In Sent. II.14.1.1

The heavens are not form, because form is not in potency to its perfections; nor is it matter, because matter is in potency to the first act; therefore, it is something as if in the middle, having the nature of a subject, and fifth essence.... The heavens are a fifth essence, which is not composite, namely by matter and form, neither form, nor matter, but a subject that only has dimensions in its act (1605, p. 188bF).

John of Jandun, De substantia orbis, q. 1

The heavens, which is the fifth essence, if we bracket from the mover, is not composed of matter and form, but is a simple subject, always subject to its mover, having matter not as [potency] to being but as potency to location [potentia ad ubi] (1552, fol. 51rB).

Reminder & background

Heavenly bodies

The problem Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterless heavens view

- heavenly bodies are not metaphysically composite
- they are neither matter nor form
- they are a simple subject, having dimensions as act

Reminder & background

Heavenly bodies

The problem Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterlass between view

3. Matterless Heavens – Objections & Responses

Corporeity?

No need for matter. (Corporeity is a form!)

Quantity?

No need for matter. (Inheres in the form of corporeity)

Sensible accidents? No need for matter. (Due to form; inhere in quantity)



Reminder & background

Heavenly bodies The problem Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterless heavens view

Similarity:

- cannot be understood except by the form / its mover
- does not exist except with the form/mover
- does not have qualities except due to form/movers
- we infer the existence of PM by observing substantial change; we infer the existence of "local matter" by observing local motion
- but we cannot know the positive formal characterstic of either (since they don't have any, apart from their form/mover)

Reminder & background

Heavenly bodies

The problem Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterless heavens view

Dissimilarity:

- PM receives its existence from form; CBs have their own actuality
- the union of a CB and its mover is not like the union of PM&SF, but more like a ship and its captain.

Reminder & background

Heavenly bodies

The problem Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterless heavens view

Angels? Souls?

The problem is similar:

- The intellect (angelic, human, etc.) seems to be able to change e.g., it can acquire new concepts, etc.
- The underlying substrate of change is matter;
- ... Therefore, the intellect has an underlying substrate of matter and is composite of this matter and form.

The positions:

- You may think that still, there is no such thing as spiritual matter (Aquinas, and most later scholastics).
- Or you may think that there is such a thing as spiritual matter, and it is the same as the regular one ("universal hylomorphism"; Bonaventure, Avicebron).
- Or you may think that spiritual matter is different (Auriol).

Heavenly bodies The problem Uniform matter view Diverse matter view Matterless heavens view