

ETHICS (PHL-2010)

TR 8:50–10:08 AND 10:15–11:33, FALL 2018

Instructor: Dr. Zita Toth

Office: St. Maur, room A 002

Office hours: MW 4:00–5:00 p.m., TR 2:00–3:00 p.m., and by appointment. To make an appointment, please go to <http://zitavtoth.com/2016/01/19/Officehours/> and click on the desired slot.

Phone: 2924 (office extension); 917-544-3364 (cell; please use it with consideration).

E-mail: ztoth@conception.edu.

Expected time required outside class: Approximately 2 hours for every class hour.

REQUIRED TEXTS

There are two required books for the course. There will be some additional readings posted on Moodle. You will be responsible for accessing these, and, if you can, bringing a printed copy to class.

- Christina Sommers and Fred Sommers (eds). *Vice and Virtue in Everyday Life*. Fifth Edition. Harcourt College Publishers. ISBN: 0-15-506796-6. (N.B.: The page numbers in the earlier editions are different.)
- C. S. Lewis. *The Abolition of Man*. Harper Collins, 2000. ISBN: 9780060652944. (Or any other edition.)

DESCRIPTION AND OBJECTIVES

The Greek word ‘ethos’ originally meant ‘custom’ or ‘habit.’ We humans are rather obsessed with observing, explaining, evaluating, and attempting to influence our own habits and those of others. Perhaps this helps explain much of our moral posturing on the internet, why self-help books continue to sell despite their near-total ineffectiveness, and why it’s sometimes so hard to look away from reality TV. Ethical judgments are about the quality or worthiness of choices, customs, habits, or ways of life. In philosophical ethics, we ask how these judgments are justified. For instance, is the best life just the one that adds the most happiness to the world? Or is the goodness of a life independent of its actual consequences? Are these evaluations made true in different ways in different cultures? In this class we will look more closely at these suggestions and see where they lead.

GRADING

Grading scale:

Grade	GPA	Description
A	4.00	excellent, outstanding
A-	3.67	still excellent
B+	3.33	very good
B	3.00	good; solid, and above average
B-	2.67	good; still above average
C+	2.33	average
C	2.00	acceptable
C-	1.67	minimally acceptable
D	1.00	passing but unsatisfactory
F	0.00	failure

Grade break-down:

Component	Percentage
In-class participation	15%
Notecards	20%
Papers total	45%
Midterm exam	10%
Final exam	10%

Participation: Conversation is essential to Philosophy. Everyone, even if they are bored or shy or anxious is expected to participate in class. Here are a few suggestions with regard to class discussion:

- Charity is very important in every engaging discussion. You should answer to your peers' suggestion according to the best interpretation you can give them.
- Criticism can be very useful, but be careful not to direct it towards the person instead of the idea.
- Please be willing to change your mind if the evidence so dictates.
- Attendance in class is mandatory. After your second absence, even if excused, you will be required to do some extra work in order to complete the course.

To prepare for class participation: You will see that readings, especially in Ancient Philosophy, can be very difficult. Be sure to make notes about: (1) the main thesis or idea of the reading; (2) the main argument (if any); (3) the main examples the reading uses to illustrate the point; and any questions or remarks you have about the reading. There will also be some homework exercises (including writing), which you will be expected to complete.

Notecards: The notecards require that you show that you put effort into the readings and are prepared to participate well. After completing the readings for each class, write down *either* a short summary of the reading *or* at least two or three major questions that the authors of the texts are attempting to answer or problems that

you see in the readings. You will hand these to me on a 3×5 notecard that you will put on my desk before the beginning of class. (If there are multiple readings for that day, each reading must be addressed by your notecard). Strive to be clear and to ask challenging, puzzling questions. Notecards are graded on a \times (D), \checkmark - (C), \checkmark (B), \checkmark + (A) scale. A \checkmark + is reserved for incisive, well-articulated summaries and questions. Because notecards are part of the preparatory work for class discussions, notecards cannot be turned in late. If you have an excused absence, that day will simply not count toward your average.

Papers: There will be three paper assignments during the semester; detailed prompts will follow later. Here are the standards for distinguishing between Excellent, Good, Average and Poor papers:

- **Writing Quality:** Papers should demonstrate good grammar as well as good spelling. They should also be copy-edited to reduce or eliminate typos. Writing should be clear and exhibit good structure. Paragraphs should be cohesive and build towards a complete essay with a substantial thesis (as opposed to a string of disconnected thoughts).
- **Accuracy and Understanding:** Papers should be able accurately to recreate an argument or a position. They should find the relevant issues and argument(s) worthy of analysis.
- **Argument:** Papers should argue for or against a position rather than merely exchange opinions. Is the argument for or against a position constructed persuasively within the confines of the paper?

Midterm and Final exam: Details will follow; the final exam will cover all the material assigned throughout the course.

COURSE POLICIES

Electronic Devices: Electronic devices are not allowed in class. They can be very distracting, and new research shows that even when computers are used solely for taking notes, learning is impaired, because the keyboard encourages taking verbatim notes while the pen requires you to process as you take notes.¹ Everyone is expected to leave their devices (phones, laptops, tablets, etc.) at home or keep them turned off and out of sight during class time. For any special concerns about this policy, please ask me directly.

E-mail: There will be some official communication via e-mail, and everyone is expected to check their e-mail accounts regularly and read their e-mails carefully. You can expect me to read and answer my e-mails within 24 hours; please do not send me e-mails at midnight expecting a response by the next morning class.

Outside resources: You will not have to do outside research beyond the assigned books. If you feel you must read more than what is assigned, please do not rely on wikipedia (which tends to be rather unreliable in philosophy). Instead, I recommend an excellent and free website, the Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy:

1. Pam A Mueller and Daniel M Oppenheimer, "The Pen is Mightier than the Keyboard: Advantages of Longhand Over Laptop Note Taking," *Psychological Science*, 2014,

plato.stanford.edu. An up-to-date, reliable catalogue of philosophy papers can be found at philpapers.org (but please note that they do not store the papers; you will still have to find them in the library).

Plagiarism: If you use or copy a source without properly citing it, whether this act is intentional or not, you commit plagiarism. Plagiarism is a form of stealing. It is very easy to detect, and will result in your automatic failure of the course.

Lateness: Late assignments will not be considered unless for very serious reasons. If you have such reasons, please let me know as soon as you can. I will not accept excuses related to technology (“my e-mail address wasn’t working,” “it remained in my Draft folder,” etc.) unless it is a major technical issue that affects the campus and the IT department knows about it. Seminary-related events and assignments for other courses do not count as very serious reasons.

This course complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act in making reasonable accommodations for qualified students with disabilities. Please present your written request for accommodation to me and the Academic Dean before the fourth day of class.

TENTATIVE SCHEDULE

The schedule is tentative, which means it might change at any point during the semester. I will post any changes and will call your attention to it in advance.

Abbreviations: M = on Moodle; CFS = the Christina and Fred Sommers anthology. Page numbers in the CFS refer to the 5th edition; those in square brackets refer to the 9th edition. (*Indicates that the readings in the two editions are not the same.)

Preliminary Considerations		
Aug 21	Introduction	Weil (M); Wallace (M)
23	Why be moral?	CFS 143–166; 436–441 [140–142; 360–371*]
28	Moral relativism	CFS 210–218; 232–237 [79–84; 94–105*]
30	Introduction to normative ethics	CFS 55–66 [60–67]
Consequentialism		
Sep 4	Mill’s utilitarianism	CFS 120–126 [219–223]
6	Social contract	CFS 442–449 [143–147]
11	Applications	CFS 759–769; 856–864 [374–380; 456–468*]
13	Critique	CFS 127–142 [224–240]
Deontology		
18	Some background	CFS 115–119; 268–275 [216–218; 132–137]
20	Kant, good will	CFS 167–174 [241–245]
25	Categorical imperative	CFS 174–180 [246–251]; first paper due
27	Further examples	CFS 181–198 [(M)]
Oct 2	Divine command theory	CFS 99–114 [205–215]
4	Applications	O’Neill (M)
9	Critique	CFS 199–205 [251–255]

11 Midterm exam

Virtue Ethics

16	Aristotle	CFS 280–286 [265–270]
18	virtues	CFS 286–295 [270–276]
23	Augustine on virtue and vice	CFS 296–300; 379–383 [277–280; 336–340]; second paper due
25	McIntyre on virtue ethics	CFS 307–318 [299–307]
30	Natural law	Aquinas (M)
Nov 6	Application: famine	Slote (M)
8	Writing philosophy	Frances (M)
13	Helping the poor	CFS 777–789 [387–390*]
15	Environment	Laudato si (M); White (M)
20	Critique	CFS 335–354 [319–330*]

Final Considerations

27	Moral progress	<i>Abolition of Man</i> , I–II
Dec 4	Ethics naturalized?	<i>Abolition of Man</i> , III
6	Review	Final paper due
